

# MaryPIRG's 2005 State Legislative Scorecard

MaryPIRG, a non-profit, non-partisan advocate for the public interest, monitors the voting records of Maryland's state legislators. Do your legislators support the public interest? Their votes on key public interest issues are shown below.

## Public Interest Votes in the State Senate

- 1. Land Preservation** – SB 306 establishes safeguards for the sale of protected land and requires the repayment of funds taken from Program Open Space.
- 2. Land Preservation** – HB 4 establishes safeguards for the sale of protected land but does not require the repayment of funds taken from Program Open Space.
- 3. Toxics** – HB 83 phases out the use of two classes of flame retardants that are highly toxic.
- 4. Energy Conservation** – SB 92, as voted on in the Senate, would have required that buildings constructed with state funds be green buildings unless there is justification that a green building is impractical for the facility.
- 5. Transportation** – HB 1352 authorizes \$750 million in bonds to build the Inter-County Connector. A no vote was the public interest position.
- 6. Energy Rates** – The Green amendment to HB 215 gives local governments the authority to negotiate for better electricity rates for their residents.
- 7. Consumer Protection** – SB 8 prohibits hidden and excessive fees on certain gift cards and requires full disclosure for all other gift cards.
- 8. Prescription Drug Safety** – SB 681 would have required pharmaceutical companies to disclose the results of clinical trials for certain drugs so that potential hazards would be publicly known.

## Public Interest Votes in the House of Delegates

- 1. Wetlands** – HB 154 would have provided adequate staff to the Maryland Department of the Environment's wetlands program by assessing permit fees on developments that impact wetlands.
- 2. Land Preservation** – HB 1 would have closed the loophole that allows large corporations to avoid paying the tax that funds Program Open Space.
- 3. Land Preservation** – SB 306 establishes safeguards for the sale of protected land and requires the repayment of funds taken from Program Open Space.
- 4. Transportation** – HB 1352 authorizes \$750 million in bonds to build the Inter-County Connector. A no vote was the public interest position.
- 5. Toxics** – HB 83 phases out the use of two classes of flame retardants that are highly toxic.
- 6. Consumer Protection** – HB 551 would have prohibited hidden and excessive fees on gift cards.
- 7. Consumer Protection** – The Bobo amendment to HB 753 would have maintained the state's requirement that debt management counseling organizations be not-for-profit.
- 8. Campaign Finance Reform** – HB 566 would have closed the loophole in Maryland's campaign finance law that allows developers and some other businesses to evade contribution limits by steering money through multiple limited liability partnerships.

## Maryland State Senate

Senator	Pty/Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Cmte Votes*	2005 Score	Lifetime Score
John Astle	D 30	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	33%	65%	80%
David Brinkley	R 4	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	33%	46%	38%
Gwendolyn Britt	D 47	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
Jim Brochin	D 42	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%	95%	93%
Richard Colburn	R 37	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	40%	38%	26%
Joan Carter Conway	D 43	+	+	+	e	-	+	+	+	100%	89%	95%
Ulysses Currie	D 25	+	+	+	+	-	-	a	+	67%	64%	78%
James DeGrange	D 32	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	67%	64%	76%
George Della	D 46	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	67%	92%	91%
Roy Dyson	D 29	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	67%	92%	77%
Nathaniel Exum	D 24	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	67%	82%	88%
Jennie Forehand	D 17	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	87%
Brian Frosh	D 16	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	98%
Rob Garagiola	D 15	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	90%
John Giannetti	D 21	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	87%
Lisa Gladden	D 41	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	67%	82%	94%
Leo Green	D 23	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	98%
Janet Greenip	R 33	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	20%	14%	11%
Sharon Grosfeld	D 18	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
John Hafer	R 1	-	+	+	+	-	-	a	-	33%	36%	31%
Larry Haines	R 5	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	50%	50%	32%
Andrew Harris	R 7	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	40%	48%	31%
Patrick Hogan	D 39	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	67%	64%	71%
Paula Hollinger	D 11	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	95%
Robert Hooper	R 35	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	33%	18%	23%
Ralph Hughes	D 40	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	50%	78%	92%
Nancy Jacobs	R 34	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	50%	41%	32%
Philip Jimeno	D 31	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	50%	69%	70%
Verna Jones	D 44	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	67%	73%	82%
Edward Kasemeyer	D 12	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	67%	73%	81%
Delores Kelley	D 10	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	96%
Allan Kittleman	R 9	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	40%	29%	29%
Katherine Klausmeier	D 8	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	33%	74%	82%
Rona Kramer	D 14	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	33%	65%	79%
Gloria Lawlah	D 26	e	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	67%	81%	81%
Nathaniel McFadden	D 45	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	67%	64%	80%
Thomas M. Middleton	D 28	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	67%	82%	82%
Thomas V. Mike Miller	D 27	+	+	+	+	-	e	+	+	n/a	86%	88%
Alex Mooney	R 3	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	50%	41%	37%
Donald Munson	R 2	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	33%	46%	34%
Paul Pinsky	D 22	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	98%
E.J. Pipkin	R 36	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	0%	47%	52%
Ida Ruben	D 20	+	+	+	e	-	+	+	+	67%	81%	92%
Sandra Schrader	R 13	+	+	+	+	-	e	+	-	33%	62%	70%
Lowell Stoltzfus	R 38	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	33%	46%	28%
Norman Stone	D 6	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50%	88%	87%
Leonard Teitelbaum	D 19	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	100%	91%	95%

### KEY:

- (+) = for the public interest (e) = excused from vote  
 (-) = against the public interest (a) = abstention

\* To see records of committee votes, please visit our website at [www.marypirg.org](http://www.marypirg.org).

Committee votes count for 25% of the 2005 score.

### Advocate for the Public Interest

When consumers are treated unfairly, or our natural environment is threatened, or the voices of ordinary citizens are drowned out by special interest lobbyists, MaryPIRG speaks up and takes action. We use the time-tested tools of investigative research, media exposés, grassroots organizing, advocacy and litigation to promote real change. MaryPIRG's mission is to deliver persistent, results-oriented public interest advocacy that protects our environment; encourages a fair, sustainable economy; and fosters responsive, democratic government.



# MaryPIRG's 2005 State Legislative Scorecard

MaryPIRG, a non-profit, non-partisan advocate for the public interest, monitors the voting records of Maryland's state legislators. Do your legislators support the public interest? Their votes on key public interest issues are shown below.

## Maryland House of Delegates

Delegate	Pty/Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Cmte Votes*	2005 Score	Lifetime Score
Curt Anderson	D 43	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	94%
John Arnick	D 6	+	+	e	-	-	-	-	-	25%	38%	53%
Susan Aumann	R 42	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	59%	47%
Charles Barkley	D 39	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
Joseph Bartlett	R 4A	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	33%	46%	33%
Kumar Barve	D 17	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	89%
Gail Bates	R 9A	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	50%	41%	39%
Joanne Benson	D 24	+	e	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	89%	94%
Elizabeth Bobo	D 12B	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	99%
John Bohanan	D 29B	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	50%	59%	61%
David Boschert	R 33A	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	67%	54%	46%
Joseph Boteler	R 8	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	33%	27%	28%
Charles Boutin	R 34A	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	67%	45%	49%
Bennett Bozman	D 38B	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	89%
Talmadge Branch	D 45	+	+	+	-	+	+	a	+	50%	69%	74%
Eric Bromwell	D 8	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	67%	64%	57%
William Bronrott	D 16	+	e	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	89%	93%
Anthony Brown	D 25	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	n/a	n/a	96%
Emmett Burns	D 10	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	0%	56%	77%
Michael Busch	D 30	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	n/a	75%	90%
Joan Cadden	D 31	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	59%	60%
Rudolph Cane	D 37A	+	e	+	-	+	+	a	+	100%	79%	78%
Jon Cardin	D 11	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	97%
Jill Carter	D 41	+	+	+	-	+	+	e	-	100%	79%	76%
Galen Claggett	D 3A	+	+	+	-	+	+	e	+	50%	77%	92%
Virginia Claggett	D 30	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	100%	91%	97%
John Cluster	R 8	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	50%	31%	23%
Mary Conroy	D 23A	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	50%	78%	91%
Norman Conway	D 38B	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	75%
Robert Costa	R 33B	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	67%	45%	47%
Jean Cryor	R 15	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	67%	73%	80%
Clarence Davis	D 45	+	+	+	-	+	+	e	+	67%	81%	87%
Dereck Davis	D 25	+	e	+	-	e	+	-	+	0%	50%	81%
Steven DeBoy	D 12A	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	59%	71%
John Donoghue	D 2C	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	a	67%	64%	69%
Ann Marie Doory	D 43	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	84%
Kathleen Dumais	D 15	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	94%
Don Dwyer	R 31	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	100%	53%	39%
Adelaide Eckardt	R 37B	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	50%	39%
George Edwards	R 1A	+	+	+	-	+	+	e	-	50%	66%	51%
Donald Elliott	R 4B	+	-	e	-	+	e	-	-	67%	42%	40%
D. Page Elmore	R 38A	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	33%	55%	50%
Brian Feldman	D 15	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	75%	75%	82%
Peter Franchot	D 20	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	67%	82%	88%
William Frank	R 42	+	a	+	-	+	-	-	-	67%	45%	31%
Barbara Frush	D 21	+	+	e	+	+	+	-	+	100%	89%	97%
Tony Fulton	D 40	+	+	e	e	+	e	a	-	n/a	60%	71%
Tawanna Gaines	D 22	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	50%	78%	92%
Terry Gilleland	R 32	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	33%	55%	57%
Barry Glassman	R 35A	+	-	e	-	+	-	-	+	75%	51%	49%
Marilyn Goldwater	D 16	e	+	+	e	+	+	+	e	100%	100%	97%
Marshall Goodwin	D 40	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	88%
Michael Gordon	D 17	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	67%	73%	82%
Melony Griffith	D 25	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	87%
Ana Sol Gutierrez	D 18	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
Jeannie Haddaway	R 37B	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	59%	48%
Peter Hammen	D 46	e	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	67%	81%	92%
Hattie Harrison	D 45	+	e	+	-	e	+	-	+	67%	67%	86%
Keith Haynes	D 44	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	90%
Anne Healey	D 22	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	91%
Henry Heller	D 19	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	98%
Sheila Hixson	D 20	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	85%
Patrick Hogan	R 3A	+	a	+	-	+	+	-	-	75%	56%	47%
Marvin Holmes	D 23B	+	+	e	+	+	+	+	-	100%	89%	95%
Carolyn Howard	D 24	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	91%
James Hubbard	D 23A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
John Adams Hurson	D 18	+	+	+	-	+	+	a	+	100%	81%	82%
Richard Impallaria	R 7	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	33%	36%	22%
Mary-Dulany James	D 34A	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	75%	75%	64%

**KEY:**  
 (+) = for the public interest (e) = excused from vote  
 (-) = against the public interest (a) = abstention  
 See other side for guide to votes

Delegate	Pty/Dist	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Cmte Votes*	2005 Score	Lifetime Score
Sally Jameson	D 28	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	66%
J.B. Jennings	R 7	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	25%	34%	25%
Adrienne Jones	D 10	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	e	50%	66%	92%
A. Wade Kach	R 5B	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	72%	53%
Anne Kaiser	D 14	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	100%	91%	95%
Darryl Kelley	D 26	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	e	100%	79%	93%
Kevin Kelly	D 1B	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	100%	72%	65%
Nancy King	D 39	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	91%
Ruth Kirk	D 44	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	84%
Susan Krebs	R 9B	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	59%	52%
Carolyn Krysiak	D 46	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	86%
Sue Kullen	D 27B	+	+	+	+	+	a	-	+	67%	73%	73%
Susan Lee	D 16	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	95%
John Leopold	R 31	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	75%	66%	60%
Murray Levy	D 28	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	50%	59%	59%
Mary Ann Love	D 32	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	69%	86%
Richard Madaleno	D 18	+	e	+	-	+	+	+	+	50%	77%	92%
James Malone	D 12A	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	81%	80%
Adrienne Mandel	D 19	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
Salima Siler Marriott	D 40	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	90%
William Daniel Mayer	R 28	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	100%	72%	72%
Susan McComas	R 35B	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	100%	72%	61%
Tony McConkey	R 33A	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	25%	53%	39%
Pat McDonough	R 7	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	50%	41%	27%
Brian McHale	D 46	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	75%	75%	88%
Maggie McIntosh	D 43	+	+	+	-	+	+	a	+	50%	69%	85%
Robert McKee	R 2A	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	67%	64%	52%
Herb McMillan	R 30	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	100%	53%	42%
Pauline Menes	D 21	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	100%	91%	98%
Warren Miller	R 9A	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	25%	25%	16%
Joseph Minnick	D 6	-	+	e	-	-	+	-	-	50%	34%	53%
Brian Moe	D 21	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	75%	84%	92%
Karen Montgomery	D 14	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	99%
Dan Morhain	D 11	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	98%
Gareth Murray	D 20	e	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	89%	96%
LeRoy Myers	R 1C	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	33%	46%	28%
Shirley Nathan-Pulliam	D 10	+	+	+	+	+	e	-	+	100%	89%	97%
Doyle Niemann	D 47	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	100%	72%	91%
Nathaniel Oaks	D 41	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	67%	73%	83%
Anthony O'Donnell	R 29C	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	50%	41%	36%
Jeffrey Paige	D 44	+	+	+	e	+	+	+	+	67%	92%	92%
Rosetta Parker	D 47	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	94%
Joanne Parrott	R 35A	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	67%	45%	39%
Obie Patterson	D 26	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	90%
Shane Pendergrass	D 13	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	95%
Carol Petzold	D 19	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	81%
James Proctor	D 27A	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	n/a	n/a	96%
Neil Quinter	D 13	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	97%
Victor Ramirez	D 47	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	100%	91%	94%
Samuel Rosenberg	D 41	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	91%	95%
Justin Ross	D 22	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	100%	81%	85%
David Rudolph	D 34B	+	+	+	+	+	+	a	+	50%	78%	84%
Christopher Shank	R 2B	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	100%	63%	60%
Tanya Shewell	R 5A	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	100%	72%	72%
Luiz Simmons	D 17	e	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	100%	89%	96%
Michael Smigiel	R 36	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	100%	72%	67%
Theodore Sophocleus	D 32	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	100%	72%	72%
Richard Sossi	R 36	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	25%	44%	30%
Joan Stern	D 39	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	75%	75%	93%
Nancy Stocksdale	R 5A	+	+	a	-	+	+	-	-	50%	50%	35%
Paul Stull	R 4A	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	33%	46%	38%
Herman Taylor	D 14	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	75%	75%	82%
John Trueschler	R 42	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	50%	59%	43%
Frank Turner	D 13	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
Veronica Turner	D 26	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	100%	81%	94%
Joseph Vallario	D 27A	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	n/a	75%	83%
Michael Vaughn	D 24	+	+	+	-	+	+	e	+	67%	81%	89%
Mary Roe Walkup	R 36	+	e	+	-	+	+	-	-	50%	55%	54%
Michael Weir	D 6	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	75%	66%	58%
Richard Weldon	R 3B	+	+	a	-	+	+	-	-	33%	46%	44%
John Wood	D 29A	+	+	+	-	+	a	-	-	25%	44%	41%
Robert Zirkin	D 11	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	100%	72%	93%

\* To see records of committee votes, please visit our website at [www.marypirg.org](http://www.marypirg.org).

Committee votes count for 25% of the